1	Raymond Lutz 1010 Old Chase Ave El Cajon, CA 92020 Telephone: 619-820-5321 Email: raylutz@citizensoversight.org		
2			
3			
4	RAYMOND LUTZ, IN PRO PER		
5			
6			
7	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
8	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO		
9			
10	RAYMOND LUTZ	Case No.: 37-2016-00023347-CU-PT-CTL	
11	Contestant,	EX PARTE APPLICATION - OTHER AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS	
12	HILLARY CLINTON, Democratic Presidential	SULLOKLING DOCUMENTS	
13	Party candidate named as an indespensable party, ) and DOES 1-10	(Elections Code Section 16000 et seq.)	
14	Defendant(s)		
15	MICHAEL VU, Registrar of Voters for the	Judge: Hon. Laura H. Parsky	
16	County of San Diego,	Dept: C-903 Action Filed: 07/11/2016	
17	Respondent	Trial Date: Unassigned	
18			
19	Hearing Date: 05/07/2018 Time: 1:30 PM		
20			
21			
22	1. Type of relief requested: a) Request scheduling an expedited hearing of Motion to		
23	Compel b) request ruling to preserve ballot evidence.		
24	2. Reasons ex parte relief is necessary:		
	a) Expedited Hearing: This year's election season is approaching and it will be difficult		
25	and confusing to review the ballot evidence for the 2016 primary election during or after the 2018		
26	primary election. The County does not feel comfortable providing access to the ballots without a		
27	court order to unseal them. The court should be able to provide an order to unseal the ballots in		
28	o		
	EX PARTE APPLICATION - OTHER AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS		

4

7

10

13

16

19 20

18

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

conjunction with our motion to compel production of documents. The reason our request was delayed was due to our attempt to access the ballot evidence using non-judicial means (i.e. CPRA request).

I called the calendar clerk to change the hearing date I originally set for April 5 to a later date to provide enough time for the response to the Request for Production Set One, and got a voice mail suggesting that May 10 was available. However, this date did not get confirmed. Subsequently, April 5 hearing was vacated. The Motion to Compel was submitted assuming the date of May 10. I now understand I can check the status of these hearings on the web site and I apologize for my lack of experience. Now, the new date I have is July 5, after this current election season.

It would greatly assist Petitioner if the Motion to Compel Production of Documents could be heard prior to the upcoming election and with enough time so our team can compete our review (which may only take a day or two).

Election Code 16003 says that for a contest of this type, "the action or appeal shall have priority over all other civil matters." It is not necessary to put this before all other matters due to the type of contest but if the court has any time that is convenient in the schedule, it would be appreciated.

- b) Ruling to Preserve Evidence: Petitioner requests a ruling that the ballot evidence under the control of the County shall be preserved per Election Code §17305 until after this contest has run its course. Destruction of the ballots would cause irreparable harm as we would no longer be able to gather evidence required to substantiate the malconduct by San Diego County Registrar, Michael Vu.
  - **3. Name of the opposing parties:** County of San Diego, Timothy Barry (attorney).
- **4. NOTICE GIVEN:** The opposing parties were notified of the relief request and that the ex parte application would be heard on May 7, at 1:30 pm as indicated below:
  - a. **Notice was given** to the Attorney for the Respondent, Timothy Barry.
  - b. Notification occurred April 30, 2018, concurrently with filing by One Legal
- c. Manner of Notification: email service by One Legal to Odette Ortega, to odette.ortega@sdcounty.ca.gov.

1	6. Have you appeared ex parte before for the same relief? [X] NO.		
2	7. Points & Authorities are included in the Motion to Compel document (attached).		
3			
4	information and all attachments are true and correct.		
5	<b>DATED:</b> May 04, 2018		
6	0 1-1-		
7	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )		
8	Raymond Lutz		
9	In Pro Per		
10			
11	ORDER		
12	[ ] The requested relief is [ ] DENIED [ ] GRANTED as follows:		
13   14	An expedited hearing on the Petitioner's Motion to Compel Production of Documents in RFP-1		
15 16	Documents		
17	[ ] The court orders that the County of San Diego must preserve the ballot evidence from the 2016 Primary until the conclusion of Case No.: 37-2016-00023347-CU-PT-CTL.		
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23	[ ] Hearing is continued toat[ ] AM [ ] PM in dept		
24			
25	Date: Judge/Commissioner of the Superior Court		
26	Judge/Commissioner of the Superior Court		
27			
28			
- 1	3		

EX PARTE APPLICATION - OTHER AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS